GUD CODE OF ETHICS.

GUD is an online Open Access, peer-reviewed scientific journal that is guided by the publication ethics code, Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors (http://publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf) developed by the COPE

- Committee on Publication Ethics (http://publicationethics.org/) and makes all necessary decisions against any fraud that may occur in the course of publishing a paper in the journal itself. The parties involved-authors, editors and referees-must know and share the following ethical requirements.

Duties of the Editors

The Editor and the Steering Committee are responsible for selecting articles for publication from those submitted to the journal. They are available to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies if necessary. They work in the best interest of the journal in order to improve its quality. The Editor and the Steering Committee evaluate proposed articles on the basis of their content, without discrimination on the basis of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation of the authors. The Editor, the Steering Committee, and the Editorial Board agree not to disclose information about proposed articles, to any person other than the author, referees, potential referees, any other possible contributors, and the publisher The Editor, the Steering Committee, and the Editorial Board agree not to use in their own research, without written consent of the author, the contents of an article proposed for publication.

Duties of referees

Referees through peer-review help editors make decisions about proposed articles and, through communications mediated by the editorial board, may suggest changes to the author that improve the proposed work. Any selected referee who feels that he or she is not adequate to judge the research of a proposed article or knows that he or she cannot conduct the review in a timely manner must notify the editors and withdraw from the review process

Any text assigned for reading should be considered confidential. Therefore, such texts should not be discussed with others without explicit permission from the editors. Referees perform the review objectively, refraining from personal criticism of the author and adequately justifying their judgments. Each referee identifies missed citations of important work already published in articles submitted to him. His or her statements about missing citations of the observations and arguments of others must be accompanied by appropriate references. Each referee shall point out to the editors any substantial similarities or overlaps of the text received for reading with other works known to him. Confidential information or guidance obtained during the peer-review process should be considered confidential and may not be used for personal purposes

Duties of authors

Authors of original research agree to present their work accurately and discuss its significance objectively. Any data used for this purpose must be accurately presented in the article. This must contain data and references in sufficient detail for others to repeat the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate claims constitute conduct contrary to ethics and are unacceptable. Authors ensure that they have written completely original work and provide the correct indication of any sources and contributions mentioned or

reported in their work. Authors normally do not publish articles describing the same research in more than one journal or publication. Authors explicitly cite and acknowledge the work of others and/or the content of archival documents, including projects. Authors cite publications that have influenced the nature of their work and specify references of archival documents, including projects, relevant to the aforementioned work. All authors of the article have read and approved the final version of the article and have approved that it be proposed to GUD for publication. When an author identifies a relevant error or inaccuracy in one of his or her articles, he or she is required to promptly inform the journal editors and provide them with all necessary information to point out the necessary corrections

Genoa, March 2020